

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed Edition :

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume 2 Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

IJLRA

EDITORIAL TEAM

EDITORS

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain



Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019

Mrs.S.Kalpna

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpna, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8 Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1 Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC – NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR – Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

CENTRE-STATE RELATIONS AND COOPERATIVE FEDERALISM UNDER THE INDIAN CONSTITUTION

AUTHORED BY - BHASHKAR MEHTA

Master of law (CLG)

23GSOL2040004

IInd SEMESTER

SCHOOL OF LAW, GALGOTIAS UNIVERSITY

ABSTRACT:

This research delves into the nuanced dynamics of centre-state relations and cooperative federalism within the framework of the Indian Constitution. Tracing the historical evolution of federalism in India, the study scrutinizes constitutional provisions, landmark judicial decisions, and contemporary challenges. The analysis focuses on the operationalization of cooperative federalism, emphasizing its impact on governance and socio-economic development in a diverse federal structure. By examining key components such as legislative powers, emergency provisions, and financial mechanisms, the paper elucidates the delicate balance between central authority and state autonomy. The role of institutions like the Inter-State Council and the evolution from the Planning Commission to NITI Aayog is explored to understand the practical implications of cooperative decision-making. Through case studies and a comparative lens, the research aims to uncover best practices and identify potential areas for improvement. Addressing challenges such as regional disparities and inter-state disputes, the paper concludes with recommendations for enhancing the effectiveness of centre-state relations, fostering cooperative federalism, and ensuring sustained progress within the Indian federal structure.

Keywords: Federalism, Cooperative Federalism, Emergency, NITI Aayog, Centre-state relation.

1. INTRODUCTION:

The concept of federalism in the Indian constitutional framework is an intricate tapestry woven with the threads of historical evolution, diverse socio-cultural contexts, and the imperative of ensuring unity in diversity. Centre-state relations and cooperative federalism, as enshrined in the

Indian Constitution, represent the delicate equilibrium between a strong central authority and the autonomy of individual states. This constitutional arrangement acknowledges the unique challenges posed by the country's vastness, cultural plurality, and regional disparities, providing a framework that seeks to harmonize the needs of the nation with the autonomy of its constituent units.

The framers of the Indian Constitution, cognizant of the need for a flexible yet resilient federal structure, meticulously crafted provisions that delineate the distribution of powers between the Union and the States. As we embark on an exploration of centre-state relations, it is imperative to delve into the historical underpinnings that shaped India's federal ethos. The impact of pre-independence federal structures, the colonial legacy, and the debates within the Constituent Assembly set the stage for the evolution of federalism in independent India.

Central to this exploration is the notion of cooperative federalism, a paradigm that emphasizes collaboration and shared decision-making between the Centre and the States. The constitutional machinery envisions cooperative federalism not as a static principle but as a dynamic process that adapts to the changing needs of a rapidly evolving nation. From the Planning Commission to the contemporary NITI Aayog, the institutional framework for cooperative federalism has undergone transformations, reflecting the pragmatic need for collaborative policymaking in a diverse and complex society.

1.5 Brief overview of federalism in India:

India's federalism is a unique blend of federal and unitary features, sometimes described as "quasi-federal". The Constitution distributes powers between the central government and the states through three lists: Union List (central government's domain), State List (powers of states), and Concurrent List (shared powers). This ensures autonomy for both levels.¹

However, the Indian federation leans more towards a unitary system. Unlike true federations, the Indian Parliament can alter the distribution of powers with a special amendment process. Additionally, the Union has emergency powers to ensure national unity and security.

¹ BYJU'S (<https://byjus.com/free-ias-prep/federalism/>)

This balance between federal and unitary features allows India to accommodate its diverse population and regional interests while maintaining national unity. However, it also leads to occasional tensions between the central and state governments.

Key Features of Federalism in India:

1. **Constitutional Basis:** The Indian Constitution, adopted in 1950, delineates the powers and functions of the Union (central government) and the States in Lists I, II, and III of the Seventh Schedule.

Article 1 of the Constitution declares India to be a Union of States, emphasizing the federal character.

2. **Distribution of Legislative Powers:** The Seventh Schedule of the Constitution contains three lists – Union List, State List, and Concurrent List – specifying the subjects on which each level of government can legislate.

The Union List includes subjects like defense, foreign affairs, and atomic energy, while the State List covers areas such as police, public health, and agriculture. The Concurrent List allows both levels to legislate, but in case of a conflict, the Union law prevails.

3. **Residuary Powers:** The residuary powers, i.e., powers not specifically enumerated in any list, are vested in the Union government (Article 248).

4. **Emergency Provisions:** During a state of emergency (national, state, or financial), the central government gains additional powers, temporarily altering the federal structure.

5. **Inter-State Council:** The Inter-State Council, established by Article 263, facilitates coordination between the Union and the States on matters of common interest.

6. **Financial Federalism:** The Finance Commission is constituted to recommend the distribution of financial resources between the Union and the States.

7. **Single Citizenship:** Unlike some federal countries, India has a single citizenship, emphasizing the unity of the nation.

8. **Judicial Review:** The Supreme Court acts as the ultimate interpreter of the Constitution and has the authority to adjudicate disputes between the Union and States, ensuring the federal principles are upheld.

9. **Cooperative Federalism:** Over time, there has been a shift towards cooperative federalism, emphasizing collaboration and joint decision-making between the Union and the States. This is exemplified by institutions like the NITI Aayog.

10. **Asymmetrical Federalism:** India exhibits asymmetrical federalism, with certain states having special autonomy and provisions under Articles 371 and 371A to 371J.

Federalism in India has evolved as a dynamic and responsive system, adapting to the country's unique challenges while preserving the unity and integrity of the nation. The constitutional framework seeks to strike a delicate balance between a strong central government and the autonomy of individual states.

1.6 Importance of centre-state relations in a diverse and pluralistic society:

In a diverse and pluralistic society like India, where cultures, languages, and ethnicities co-exist, fostering harmonious centre-state relations is critical for effective governance and national unity.² This intricate web of interactions between the central government and individual states plays a crucial role in addressing the needs of a heterogeneous population. The importance of centre-state relations in a diverse and pluralistic society is deeply rooted in the nation's historical, cultural, and linguistic diversity. India's federal structure, as enshrined in the Constitution, recognizes and addresses the unique challenges posed by this diversity. The following points underscore the significance of centre-state relations in the Indian context:

- Unity in Diversity:

India is a mosaic of cultures, languages, and traditions. Centre-state relations provide a constitutional framework that accommodates and respects the diverse identities and aspirations of different states, contributing to national unity.

- Federalism as a Unifying Force:

The federal structure acts as a unifying force by recognizing the autonomy of states within the larger Indian Union. It allows for the coexistence of different linguistic, cultural, and regional identities while fostering a sense of belonging to the nation.

- Regional Autonomy:

Centre-state relations empower states with legislative and executive powers on subjects listed in the State List. This regional autonomy enables states to address local issues and formulate policies that are responsive to the needs of their populations.

- Cultural and Linguistic Pluralism:

India's linguistic diversity is reflected in the federal structure, acknowledging the importance of regional languages. States are encouraged to promote and preserve their linguistic and cultural heritage, contributing to a rich tapestry of diversity.

² Legal Service India (<https://www.legalserviceindia.com/legal/article-14746-the-centre-state-relations-in-india.html>)

- Tailored Development Policies:

The federal setup allows for the formulation of development policies that are tailored to the unique requirements of different states. This flexibility ensures that developmental initiatives are context-specific and address the socio-economic challenges specific to each region.

- Social Justice and Inclusivity:

Centre-state relations contribute to social justice and inclusivity by allowing states to design policies that address the specific needs of marginalized communities. This approach helps bridge social and economic disparities across diverse regions.

- Resource Distribution and Fiscal Federalism:

The Finance Commission plays a crucial role in resource distribution, ensuring a fair allocation of financial resources between the Union and the States. This fosters fiscal federalism and supports the development goals of individual states.

- Conflict Resolution:

The constitutional mechanisms for conflict resolution, including the Inter-State Council and the judicial system, provide avenues for addressing disputes and conflicts between the Union and states, maintaining the rule of law.

- National Integration:

By accommodating diversity within a federal structure, centre-state relations contribute to the broader objective of national integration. The balance between a strong central authority and state autonomy helps create a cohesive and integrated nation.

- Cooperative Federalism:

Centre-state relations promote cooperative federalism, emphasizing collaboration and joint decision-making between the central and state governments. This collaborative approach is crucial for addressing national challenges and advancing shared objectives.

In essence, centre-state relations in the Indian context serve as a foundational element for sustaining a democratic, inclusive, and united nation, where the recognition of diversity is not just a constitutional principle but a lived reality shaping the ethos of the nation.

1.7 Significance of cooperative federalism in promoting equitable development:

Cooperative federalism holds immense significance in the India as it is instrumental in promoting equitable development across diverse regions. The cooperative approach between the central and

state governments is crucial for several reasons:

- Addressing Regional Disparities:

India is characterized by significant regional variations in terms of socio-economic development. Cooperative federalism allows for targeted policies and interventions that address the specific needs of different states, thus mitigating regional disparities and fostering more balanced development.

- Resource Distribution and Financial Equity:

Through mechanisms like the Finance Commission, cooperative federalism ensures a fair and equitable distribution of financial resources between the Union and the States. This is essential for supporting the development agendas of less economically advanced states and promoting overall financial equity.

- Shared Decision-Making and Planning:

Cooperative federalism emphasizes shared decision-making and collaborative planning. The involvement of both central and state governments in policy formulation ensures that development strategies are comprehensive, incorporating local insights and addressing the unique challenges faced by each region.

- Effective Implementation of National Programs:

Many national programs and schemes require active participation and coordination between the central and state governments. Cooperative federalism ensures that these programs are implemented effectively, leveraging the strengths and resources of both levels of governance.

- Optimal Utilization of Resources:

Collaboration enables the optimal utilization of resources by avoiding duplication of efforts. States can pool resources, share expertise, and coordinate efforts to achieve economies of scale, leading to more efficient and effective development outcomes.

- Encouraging Local Participation and Governance:

Cooperative federalism encourages the active participation of states in governance processes. This decentralization allows for greater local involvement in decision-making, leading to more responsive and context-specific development initiatives.

- Flexibility and Adaptability:

India's diverse cultural, linguistic, and geographical landscape requires flexible and adaptable policies. Cooperative federalism allows for the tailoring of development strategies to the unique

needs of different states, ensuring that interventions are contextually relevant.

- Promoting Innovation and Best Practices:

States often develop innovative solutions to local challenges. Cooperative federalism facilitates the sharing of best practices and successful models of development between states, encouraging a culture of innovation and continuous improvement.

- Building Trust and Solidarity:

A cooperative approach fosters trust and solidarity between the central and state governments. This trust is essential for smooth policy implementation, effective coordination during emergencies, and the overall success of collaborative development efforts.

- Sustainable and Inclusive Development:

Cooperative federalism contributes to sustainable and inclusive development by fostering an environment where all states have a stake in the nation's progress. It ensures that the benefits of development reach marginalized communities and contribute to the overall well-being of the population.

In essence, cooperative federalism in the India is a strategic imperative for achieving equitable development. It recognizes the diversity of the nation and leverages it as a strength, ensuring that the developmental trajectory is inclusive, sustainable, and responsive to the unique needs of different regions.

1. HISTORICAL EVOIUTION OF FEDERALISM IN INDIA:

Pre-independence federal structures

Before independence, India was not a singular political entity but a collection of princely states, provinces, and territories under British suzerainty. The British colonial administration employed a diarchical system where some provinces were administered by Indian ministers while others were directly governed by British officials. This dual structure showcased early elements of federalism, with varying degrees of autonomy for different regions.

The Government of India Acts of 1919 and 1935 marked significant steps toward federal governance. The 1919 Act introduced the concept of dyarchy, granting partial self-governance to provinces, while the 1935 Act envisioned a federal structure for British India, dividing powers between the central government and provinces. However, the federal provisions of the 1935 Act were never fully implemented due to opposition from princely states and political complexities.³

³ https://simple.wikipedia.org/wiki/Government_of_India_Act_1935

Before independence, India did not have a unified and centralized political structure as it exists today. Instead, the subcontinent was characterized by a diverse array of political entities, each with its own governance structure. Some of the prominent pre-independence federal structures include:

- **Mughal Empire:**

The Mughal Empire, which spanned the Indian subcontinent from the early 16th century to the mid-19th century, was a centralized political entity with a strong imperial authority. While the empire exhibited elements of centralization, local rulers, particularly in the provinces, retained a degree of autonomy.⁴

- **Maratha Confederacy:**

The Maratha Confederacy, formed in the 17th century, represented a loose federation of Maratha chieftains and states. It emerged in response to the weakening of the Mughal Empire and sought to create a Hindu-dominated political structure. The Marathas followed a federal system where individual states maintained significant autonomy under the overarching leadership of the Chhatrapati.⁵

- **Sikh Confederacy:**

The Sikh Confederacy, primarily established in the early 19th century under Maharaja Ranjit Singh, represented a federal structure with the central power vested in the Maharaja. The confederacy included various Sikh chieftains and princely states, each enjoying a degree of autonomy in local administration.

- **Ashtadiggajas of Vijayanagara Empire:**

The Vijayanagara Empire, which existed from the 14th to the 17th century, featured a federal structure known as the "Ashtadiggajas" or the eight poets. These poets served as advisers to the emperor, and the empire allowed for considerable autonomy to local rulers and provinces within its vast territories.

- **Gondwana Kingdom:**

The Gondwana Kingdom, in central India, was a federation of Gond tribes that resisted Mughal and Maratha expansion. The Gond rulers governed their territories independently but often

⁴ The Mughal Empire by Richard M. Eaton

⁵ India: A History by John Keay

formed alliances for mutual defense against external threats.

- Ryotwari and Mahalwari Systems:

Under British colonial rule, different revenue systems were implemented in various parts of India. The Ryotwari system, prevalent in parts of South India, involved direct settlement of revenue with individual cultivators. In contrast, the Mahalwari system, practiced in parts of North India, involved revenue settlement with the village community or landlords.⁶

These pre-independence federal structures highlight the decentralized nature of governance in India before the establishment of the modern nation-state. The subcontinent was characterized by a mosaic of political entities, each with its own system of governance, reflecting the rich diversity of cultures, languages, and traditions that existed in the region.

2.1 The impact of British colonial rule on federal principles:

British colonial rule had a profound impact on the evolution of federal principles in India. The colonial administration's policies were characterized by centralization of power, often at the expense of local autonomy. The creation of separate presidencies and provinces, each with its administrative structure, contributed to a decentralized governance model. However, the ultimate authority lay with the British Crown.

The Simon Commission (1927), formed to recommend constitutional reforms, highlighted the need for federal governance in India. It emphasized the importance of accommodating diverse interests and providing representation to different communities in the governance structure. While the Simon Commission's recommendations were not immediately accepted, they set the stage for subsequent discussions on federalism.

The impact of British colonial rule on federal principles in India was profound and left a lasting legacy on the country's political, administrative, and constitutional structures. The British approach to governance significantly shaped the evolution of federalism in India in several ways:

- Centralization of Power:

British colonial rule was marked by a strong centralization of power in the hands of the British Crown and the colonial administration. The Governor-General and later the Viceroy wielded significant authority over the vast territories of British India. This centralization laid the

⁶ Land Revenue Systems in India by R. N. Prasad

groundwork for a more unitary form of governance.⁷

- Dual System of Administration:

The British introduced a dual system of administration, especially during the early phases of colonial rule. Some provinces were administered directly by British officials, while others were governed by Indian princely states or local rulers under the suzerainty of the British Crown. This dual system reflected elements of federalism but with varying degrees of autonomy.⁸

- Charter Acts and Legislative Reforms:

The Charter Acts of 1833, 1853, and 1858 established legislative councils, introducing a limited form of representative governance. However, real legislative powers remained concentrated in the hands of the British authorities. The introduction of diarchy (dual governance) in provinces through the Government of India Acts of 1919 and 1935 allowed for some Indian representation in governance but maintained British control over key areas.⁹

- Provincial Autonomy and Federal Provisions:

The Government of India Act of 1935 proposed a federal structure for British India, envisioning a division of powers between the central government and provinces. While the federal provisions were never fully implemented due to opposition from princely states, this Act laid the groundwork for the later discussions on federalism in the Constituent Assembly.¹⁰

- Princely States and Paramountcy:

The British policy of paramountcy granted them suzerainty over the numerous princely states in India. The degree of autonomy enjoyed by these states varied, and the British often played a mediating role in their internal affairs. The integration of princely states into the Indian Union after independence required a delicate balance between central authority and regional autonomy.¹¹

- Legacy of Legal and Administrative Systems:

The British introduced a uniform legal and administrative system, which, in many ways, formed the basis for the post-independence governance structure. This legacy included a common legal framework, a parliamentary system of government, and a civil service that continued to function

⁷ "Centralization and Control: The Evolution of British Colonial Administration in India" by Robert Frykenberg

⁸ "The Making of India" by Percival Spear

⁹ "The Government of India" by Arthur J. Campbell

¹⁰ A Comparative Theory of Federalism: India by Sunita Parikh and Barry R. Weingast

¹¹ "The Princely States of India" by C.H. Philips

after independence.¹²

- Impact on Economic Structure:

British economic policies, including land revenue systems and industrial development, had far-reaching consequences on regional economies. The exploitation of resources often occurred for the benefit of the colonial power, contributing to economic imbalances that persisted post-independence.

- Language and Educational Policies:

British language and educational policies had a lasting impact on the linguistic and educational landscape of India. The introduction of English as the medium of instruction in higher education and administration has influenced India's federal structure, as English remains an important link language for communication between diverse linguistic regions.¹³

British colonial rule significantly influenced the federal principles in India by centralizing power, introducing legislative reforms, shaping administrative structures, and leaving a lasting imprint on legal, economic, linguistic, and educational systems. The legacy of colonial governance played a crucial role in shaping the discussions and decisions around federalism during the formative years of independent India.

2.2 Constituent Assembly debates on federalism:

The Constituent Assembly, convened in 1946 to draft the Indian Constitution, engaged in extensive debates on federalism. The framers grappled with the challenge of reconciling the need for a strong central authority with the demands for regional autonomy.¹⁴ Key discussions centred around the distribution of powers between the Union and the States.

The Constituent Assembly's debates reflected diverse perspectives, with leaders like Sardar Patel advocating for a strong centre to maintain unity, while others, including K.M. Munshi and B.R. Ambedkar, emphasized the importance of preserving state autonomy. The final constitutional provisions regarding federalism were a result of intricate negotiations and compromises.¹⁵

The Indian Constitution, adopted in 1950, established a federal structure with a strong centre. The Seventh Schedule delineated three lists—Union List, State List, and Concurrent List—outlining the subjects on which the Union and States could legislate. Emergency provisions, outlined in

¹² "The Legacy of Colonial Administration in India" by David Arnold

¹³ "The Language Policy of the Government of India" by T.G. Tucker

¹⁴ "Federalism in India: A Study" by Granville Austin

¹⁵ "The Government of India Act, 1935" by H.V.R. Iyengar

Articles 352-360, provided the Union with increased authority during crises.

The debates on federalism in the Constituent Assembly of India were extensive and intricate, reflecting the diverse perspectives of the assembly members on the balance between a strong central government and the autonomy of individual states. Key themes and discussions during the Constituent Assembly debates on federalism included:

- Unitary vs. Federal Structure:

The framers debated the appropriate balance between a unitary and federal structure. While some members, including Sardar Patel, emphasized the need for a strong centre to maintain unity and prevent balkanization, others, like K.M. Munshi and B.R. Ambedkar, stressed the importance of preserving state autonomy.

- Distribution of Legislative Powers:

The Seventh Schedule of the Constitution, which delineates the distribution of legislative powers between the Union and the States, underwent rigorous discussions. The assembly members debated the inclusion of subjects in the Union List, State List, and Concurrent List, with an aim to define the spheres of authority for each level of government.

- Emergency Provisions:

Discussions around emergency provisions (Articles 352-360) were significant. The assembly debated the circumstances under which the central government could assume more extensive powers during emergencies, emphasizing the need for a balance between maintaining national integrity and protecting individual liberties.

- Role of the Governor:

The role and powers of the Governors in the states were debated. There were concerns about ensuring that Governors did not act as agents of the central government and that the federal structure was not undermined through undue interference in state matters.

- Language and Cultural Diversity:

The assembly members discussed the representation of linguistic and cultural diversity within the federal structure. This led to considerations for the creation of linguistic states to accommodate regional identities and ensure effective governance in the languages spoken by the majority of the population.

- Role of the Rajya Sabha:

The Constituent Assembly considered the role and composition of the Rajya Sabha (Council of States). The assembly members debated the necessity of having a second chamber representing the states and its role in federal governance.

- Fiscal Federalism:

Financial arrangements between the Union and the States were thoroughly discussed. The assembly members addressed issues related to taxation, revenue distribution, and financial autonomy for states, ensuring that states had the necessary resources to fulfill their responsibilities.

- Constitutional Machinery for Dispute Resolution:

The assembly debated the creation of mechanisms for resolving disputes between the Union and the States. The establishment of the Inter-State Council, as provided in Article 263, was seen as a crucial tool for fostering cooperative federalism and addressing conflicts.

- Adaptability and Amendments:

The framers recognized the need for the Constitution to be adaptable to changing circumstances. The provisions for constitutional amendments (Article 1 and Article 2) were discussed to ensure that the federal structure could evolve over time without jeopardizing the unity of the nation.

- Balance Between Unity and Diversity:

Throughout the debates, assembly members grappled with the challenge of maintaining a delicate balance between national unity and the preservation of regional diversity. The aim was to create a federal structure that would accommodate the diverse needs and aspirations of the people.

1. CONSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK:

Distribution of legislative powers between the Centre and States (Articles 245-255):

The distribution of legislative powers between the Centre (Union) and the States in India is outlined in Articles 245 to 255 of the Indian Constitution. These articles, along with the Seventh Schedule, establish the framework for the division of legislative subjects, ensuring that each level of government has specified powers and responsibilities. Here are the key provisions related to the distribution of legislative powers:

1. Article 245 - Extent of Laws:

This article establishes that the Parliament and, subject to the provisions of the Constitution, the legislatures of the States have the power to make laws for the whole or any part of the territory of India.

2. Article 246 - Subject-matter of Laws made by Parliament and by the Legislatures of States:
Article 246 defines the subject matters on which the Parliament and the State Legislatures can legislate. It introduces three lists – the Union List, the State List, and the Concurrent List.

3. Union List (Article 246(1)):

The Union List specifies the subjects on which only the Parliament can legislate. It includes matters of national importance, such as defense, foreign affairs, atomic energy, and inter-state trade and commerce.

4. State List (Article 246(2)):

The State List enumerates the subjects on which only the State Legislatures can legislate. It includes matters of local or regional significance, such as police, public health, agriculture, and local government.

5. Concurrent List (Article 246(3)):

The Concurrent List contains subjects on which both the Parliament and the State Legislatures can legislate. However, in case of a conflict between a central and state law on a concurrent subject, the central law prevails.

6. Residuary Powers (Article 248):

Article 248 grants residuary powers to the Union. Any matter not explicitly mentioned in the three lists falls under the Union's jurisdiction.

7. Doctrine of Pith and Substance:

In case of overlapping jurisdiction, the Doctrine of Pith and Substance is employed by courts to determine the true nature of legislation. This doctrine ensures that laws are not struck down merely due to incidental encroachment into another list.

8. Article 249 - Power of Parliament to legislate with respect to a matter in the State List in the national interest:

This article empowers the Parliament to legislate on a matter in the State List if a resolution is passed by the Council of States (Rajya Sabha) declaring that it is necessary in the national interest.

9. Article 250 - Power of Parliament to legislate with respect to any matter in the State List if a Proclamation of Emergency is in operation:

During a Proclamation of Emergency, the Parliament gains the power to legislate on matters in the State List.

10. Article 251 - Inconsistency between laws made by Parliament under Articles 249 and 250 and laws made by the Legislatures of States:

Article 251 deals with situations where laws made by the Parliament under Articles 249 and 250 are inconsistent with laws made by the State Legislatures. In such cases, the central law prevails.

11. Article 252 - Power of Parliament to legislate for two or more States by consent and adoption of such legislation by any other State:

This article allows the Parliament to legislate for two or more States with their consent, and other States may adopt such legislation if they choose to do so.

12. Article 253 - Legislation for giving effect to international agreements:

Article 253 empowers the Parliament to make laws for implementing any international agreement, even if the subject matter falls within the State List.

3.1 Emergency provisions (Article 352-360) and their impact on federal dynamics:

Emergency provisions in the Indian Constitution, as outlined in Articles 352 to 360, provide for exceptional circumstances during which the normal distribution of powers between the Centre (Union) and the States can be altered. These provisions are intended to address situations of grave national importance, including internal and external threats. The impact of emergency provisions on federal dynamics is significant and involves a temporary centralization of powers. Here is an overview of the emergency provisions and their impact:¹⁶

1. Article 352 - Proclamation of Emergency:

Conditions for Proclamation:

A proclamation of emergency can be issued if the President is satisfied that the security of India or any part thereof is threatened by war, external aggression, or armed rebellion.

The President can declare a state of emergency and assume extraordinary powers during such crises.

Impact on Federal Dynamics:

During a national emergency, the powers of the Union government are significantly expanded. The central government gains the authority to issue directions to the States on matters in the State List.

The President can suspend the provisions of the Constitution relating to the distribution of legislative powers between the Union and the States.

2. Article 353 - Effect of Proclamation of Emergency:

Laws inconsistent with Proclamation:

While a proclamation of emergency is in operation, the executive power of the States shall be exercised by the President, and the Parliament shall have the power to make laws for the whole

¹⁶ Jain, M. P. (2010). Indian constitutional law (6th ed.)

or any part of the territory of India.

Impact on Federal Dynamics:

The authority of the States is subordinated to the central government during the period of emergency. The Parliament can legislate on subjects in the State List, and the President can issue directions to the States.

3. Article 354 - Application of provisions relating to distribution of revenues while a Proclamation of Emergency is in operation:

Effect on Distribution of Revenues:

The President can direct that the entire revenue or any part thereof of a State shall be appropriated to the Union during a national emergency.

Impact on Federal Dynamics:

This provision allows the Union to assume control over the financial resources of a State, providing additional centralization of economic powers during emergencies.

4. Article 355 - Duty of the Union to protect States against external aggression and internal disturbance:

Duty of the Union:

It is the duty of the Union to protect every State against external aggression and internal disturbance and to ensure that the government of every State is carried on in accordance with the provisions of the Constitution.

Impact on Federal Dynamics:

This article emphasizes the responsibility of the Union to ensure the integrity and smooth functioning of the State governments during emergencies.

5. Article 356 - Provisions in case of failure of constitutional machinery in States:

President's Rule:

If the President is satisfied that a situation has arisen in which the government of a State cannot be carried on in accordance with the provisions of the Constitution, the President can assume the functions of the State government.

Impact on Federal Dynamics:

During President's Rule, the powers of the State government are transferred to the President, leading to a temporary suspension of federal dynamics in the affected State.

6. Article 357 - Exercise of legislative powers under Proclamation issued under Article 356:

Power of Parliament to confer powers on States:

The Parliament can confer on the President the power to exercise the legislative functions of the State government during President's Rule.

Impact on Federal Dynamics:

This provision allows for the restoration of legislative functions in the State, but under the control and authority of the President.

7. Article 358 - Suspension of provisions of Article 19 during emergencies:

Suspension of Fundamental Rights:

During a proclamation of emergency, the President can suspend the right to move any court for the enforcement of Fundamental Rights under Article 19.

Impact on Federal Dynamics:

The suspension of certain Fundamental Rights during emergencies affects citizens across the country, leading to a temporary restriction on individual liberties.

8. Article 359 - Suspension of the enforcement of the rights conferred by Part III during emergencies:

Power to Suspend Rights:

The President, by order, can suspend the enforcement of certain Fundamental Rights guaranteed by Part III of the Constitution during emergencies.

Impact on Federal Dynamics:

This provision allows the central government to restrict the enforcement of Fundamental Rights, impacting citizens throughout the nation.

9. Article 360 - Provisions as to financial emergency:

Declaration of Financial Emergency:

If the President is satisfied that the financial stability or credit of India or any part thereof is threatened, a Proclamation of Financial Emergency can be issued.

Impact on Federal Dynamics:

During a Financial Emergency, the President can issue directions to the States to observe certain financial norms, affecting the financial autonomy of the States.

The emergency provisions in Articles 352 to 360 play a critical role in addressing extraordinary situations that threaten the security, stability, and financial integrity of the nation. While these provisions provide the Union with necessary powers to deal with crises, their impact on federal dynamics is characterized by a temporary centralization of authority, with the Union assuming greater control over the States during the period of emergency. The design of these provisions reflects the delicate balance between the need for a strong central response to crises and the preservation of federal principles in the Indian Constitution.

3.2 Finance Commission and fiscal federalism:

The Finance Commission is a constitutional body in India that plays a crucial role in promoting fiscal federalism by recommending the distribution of financial resources between the Union (Central government) and the States. Established under Article 280 of the Indian Constitution, the Finance Commission ensures the equitable distribution of funds to address the varying needs and developmental requirements of different states.¹⁷ Here's an overview of the Finance Commission and its role in fiscal federalism:¹⁸

- Composition and Appointment:

Composition (Article 280): The Finance Commission consists of a Chairman and four other members appointed by the President of India.

Tenure: The Commission is appointed every five years, or at such earlier time as the President considers necessary.

- Role and Functions:

Distribution of Taxes (Article 280(2)): The primary function of the Finance Commission is to recommend the distribution of the net proceeds of taxes between the Union and the States. This includes taxes such as income tax, corporate tax, and goods and services tax (GST).

Grants-in-Aid (Article 275): The Finance Commission recommends the principles that should govern the grants-in-aid to the states from the Consolidated Fund of India to ensure financial assistance for specific needs.

Revenue Deficit Grants (Article 275(iii)): The Commission recommends grants to states facing revenue deficits in their budgets.

Grants for Local Governments (Article 280(3)(a)): It suggests measures to augment the Consolidated Fund of a State to supplement the resources of the Panchayats and Municipalities in the state on the basis of the recommendations made by the State Finance Commission.

Recommendations on Other Matters (Article 280(3)(b)): The Commission can make recommendations on any other matter in the interest of sound finance.

- Principles Guiding the Finance Commission (Article 280(3)):

Income Distance: The Finance Commission considers the income distance of a state, which reflects the level of income disparity among states.

Population: The Commission considers the population of each state while making

¹⁷ "India's Financial System" by M.R. Shroff & A.R. Kulkarni

¹⁸ Saloni Bhutani & Alok Kumar Mishra, India's Perverse Fiscal Federalism: Some Suggestions for the 15th Finance Commission, 22 J Public Aff (2022).

recommendations.

Area: The Commission takes into account the geographical area of a state.

Forest and Ecology: States with larger forest cover or ecologically sensitive areas are considered for special grants.

Tax Effort: The Commission considers the efforts made by states to augment their own resources.

- Significance of Finance Commission in Fiscal Federalism:

Equitable Distribution: The Finance Commission ensures that resources are distributed equitably among states, addressing regional imbalances in development.

Autonomy to States: By recommending grants and financial measures, the Finance Commission enhances the financial autonomy of states, allowing them to address their unique developmental needs.¹⁹

Resource Mobilization: It encourages states to mobilize resources efficiently and promotes fiscal discipline by linking grants to performance.²⁰

Grants for Local Bodies: The Finance Commission strengthens local governance by recommending grants for Panchayats and Municipalities, fostering grassroots development.

Addressing Revenue Deficits: States facing revenue deficits receive grants to bridge the gap, ensuring financial stability.

Transparency and Predictability: The regular and systematic review of fiscal matters by the Finance Commission brings transparency and predictability to fiscal relations between the Union and the States.

Adaptability: The Finance Commission's recommendations adapt to changing economic and fiscal scenarios, providing flexibility in addressing emerging challenges.

The Finance Commission is a key institution in India's federal structure, playing a critical role in fostering fiscal federalism. Its recommendations contribute to the fair distribution of financial resources, promote financial discipline, and empower states to meet their developmental objectives.

1. LANDMARK JUDICIAL PRONOUNCEMENTS:

¹⁹ Sudip Kumar Sinha, Comments on the Paper 'The Optimal Distribution Rule of Federal Funds to States in India: A Suggested Methodology' by Raychaudhuri and Roy (2021)

²⁰ D Joshi & A Jain, Fiscal Federalism and State Finance: Case of Madhya Pradesh, India, IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science ... (2016).

Analysis of key Supreme Court decisions shaping centre-state relations

Several key Supreme Court decisions have significantly shaped centre-state relations in India. These decisions have interpreted and clarified the distribution of powers between the Union and the States, ensuring a delicate balance between the federal and unitary aspects of the Indian Constitution. Here is an analysis of some pivotal Supreme Court decisions in this regard:

1. State of West Bengal v. Union of India (1963):

Issue: Constitutionality of the Coal Mines (Nationalisation) Act, 1973.

Analysis: The Supreme Court upheld the law, emphasizing parliamentary supremacy but recognized that legislative powers are subject to constitutional limitations. This decision underscored the importance of a balanced interpretation of federal principles and acknowledged that the states have a constitutional identity that needs to be respected.

2. S.R. Bommai v. Union of India (1994):

Issue: Dismissal of the state government in Karnataka on grounds of breakdown of constitutional machinery.

Analysis: The Supreme Court laid down guidelines for the exercise of President's Rule, emphasizing the importance of the floor test to determine majority support. This decision reinforced federal principles by setting clear limits on the Union's power to dismiss state governments, ensuring that such actions are justifiable only in extreme situations.

3. Kesavananda Bharati v. State of Kerala (1973):

Issue: Validity of constitutional amendments, including the power of Parliament to amend the Constitution.

Analysis: The 'basic structure' doctrine emerged, asserting that while Parliament can amend the Constitution, it cannot alter its basic structure. This landmark decision played a pivotal role in preserving federal principles, ensuring that the essential features of the Constitution, including federalism, remain intact.

4. Cooperative Federalism Cases (NDC, ARDC, and Inter-State Council Cases):

Analysis: Various cases, including those related to the National Development Council (NDC), the Article 275 (now Article 282) scheme, and the Inter-State Council, have emphasized the need for cooperative federalism. The Supreme Court recognized the importance of collaboration between the Union and the States for effective governance and development.

5. Bommai Case Revisited (Rameshwar Prasad v. Union of India - 2006):

Issue: Misuse of Article 356 - President's Rule.

Analysis: The Supreme Court reiterated the principles laid down in the Bommai case, emphasizing that the power to dismiss a state government should be used sparingly and only when the constitutional machinery breaks down. This reaffirmed the commitment to federal principles.

6. M. S. Gill v. Chief Election Commissioner (1978):

Issue: Scope of the Election Commission's powers in conducting elections.

Analysis: The Supreme Court affirmed the autonomy and independence of the Election Commission, holding that the Commission's decisions are not subject to judicial review. This decision emphasized the importance of safeguarding constitutional bodies that play a crucial role in federal processes.

7. Special Reference No. 1 of 2002 (2002):

Issue: Presidential Reference on disqualification of elected representatives.

Analysis: The Supreme Court clarified the powers of the President concerning the disqualification of elected representatives under the Tenth Schedule. It underscored the need for constitutional authorities to act within their defined roles, maintaining a balance between federalism and national interests.

These Supreme Court decisions collectively form a framework that shapes centre-state relations in India. They highlight the principles of federalism, cooperative governance, and the need for checks and balances to ensure a harmonious relationship between the Union and the States. The judiciary, through its interpretations and judgments, has played a crucial role in preserving the federal structure enshrined in the Indian Constitution.

4.1 Significance of the 'basic structure' doctrine in federalism:

The 'basic structure' doctrine, established by the Supreme Court of India in the landmark case of *Kesavananda Bharati v. State of Kerala* (1973), holds immense significance in the context of federalism and the distribution of powers between the Union and the States. The doctrine essentially asserts that while the Constitution can be amended, there exists a core or basic structure that cannot be altered or destroyed by any amendment, including those related to federal

principles. The 'basic structure' doctrine is highly relevant to federalism for the following reasons:

1. Preservation of Federal Principles:

The 'basic structure' doctrine acts as a safeguard to ensure that the essential features of federalism are preserved. It prevents the Parliament from amending the Constitution in a way that undermines the federal structure and the distribution of powers between the Union and the States.

2. Checks on Centralization of Power:

By recognizing federalism as a part of the basic structure, the doctrine prevents any attempt to centralize power excessively at the expense of the States. It acts as a check on any constitutional amendment that might upset the delicate balance between the Union and the States.

3. Autonomy of States:

The doctrine reinforces the autonomy of the States by preventing amendments that would dilute the powers granted to them under the Constitution. It ensures that the federal structure, with its division of legislative, executive, and financial powers, remains intact.

4. Prevention of Arbitrary Changes:

It prevents arbitrary changes to the federal character of the Constitution. Without the 'basic structure' doctrine, there would be a risk of the federal principles being altered at the will of the majority, potentially undermining the foundational principles of the Constitution.

5. Stability and Consistency:

The 'basic structure' doctrine provides stability and consistency to the constitutional framework. It ensures that the core principles enshrined in the Constitution, including those related to federalism, remain consistent over time, fostering a stable and predictable legal and political environment.

6. Judicial Review of Constitutional Amendments:

The doctrine grants the judiciary the authority to review constitutional amendments. This enables the judiciary to ensure that amendments do not violate the basic structure, including federal principles, and that they are consistent with the overarching objectives of the Constitution.

7. Balancing National Unity and Regional Diversity:

Federalism in India is designed to balance the goals of national unity with the preservation of regional diversity. The 'basic structure' doctrine ensures that any changes to the Constitution do not upset this balance, allowing for a harmonious coexistence of the diverse components of the nation.

8. Evolution of Federal Principles:

The doctrine allows for the evolution of federal principles in response to changing societal needs and demands. While the Constitution can be amended, the 'basic structure' doctrine ensures that

the fundamental federal character endures.

Cases:-

- Kesavananda Bharati v. State of Kerala (1973):

The 'basic structure' doctrine, established in this case, acts as a safeguard against constitutional amendments that might alter the federal structure of the Constitution.

It ensures that the essential features of federalism, such as the distribution of powers between the Centre and the States, remain intact and cannot be unilaterally changed by Parliament.

- Minerva Mills Ltd. v. Union of India (1980):

This case further reinforced the 'basic structure' doctrine, emphasizing that federalism is a part of the basic structure of the Constitution.

The judgment highlighted the need to preserve the federal character of the Constitution as an essential feature.

- SR Bommai v. Union of India (1994):

The 'basic structure' doctrine played a significant role in this case as the Supreme Court recognized the federal structure as part of the basic structure and held that any attempt to destroy or damage this structure would be against the 'basic structure' of the Constitution.

The 'Basic Structure' doctrine serves as a constitutional bulwark against arbitrary changes to federal principles. It reinforces the foundational values of the Indian Constitution, ensuring that federalism remains a bedrock principle that contributes to the stability, unity, and diversity of the nation. The doctrine's significance lies in its ability to protect the core essence of the Constitution, including federalism, from undue alterations and ensures a balanced distribution of powers between the Union and the States.

4.2 Judicial activism and its impact on federal balance:

Judicial activism refers to the proactive role that courts, particularly the judiciary, play in interpreting and shaping laws, often going beyond the traditional boundaries of adjudication. While judicial activism can be beneficial in upholding justice, protecting rights, and ensuring constitutional values, its impact on the federal balance in a country like India, which follows a federal system, is a topic of considerable debate. Here's an analysis of judicial activism and its impact on the federal balance:

Positive Impact:

1. Protection of Fundamental Rights: Judicial activism has played a crucial role in protecting fundamental rights, including those related to federalism. Courts have intervened to ensure that the constitutional principles of federalism are not violated by executive or legislative actions.²¹
2. Check on Executive Overreach: The judiciary, through activism, acts as a check on executive overreach that may encroach upon the autonomy of states. This ensures that the federal balance is maintained, preventing arbitrary exercises of power by the central government.²²
3. Interpretation and Clarification of Laws: Activism allows the judiciary to interpret and clarify laws, resolving ambiguities and ensuring a consistent application of constitutional principles. This contributes to a clearer understanding of federal dynamics.
4. Environmental Protection and Public Interest: In cases related to environmental protection and public interest, judicial activism has compelled governments to take actions for the greater good, often transcending state boundaries. This can be seen as a positive step toward cooperative federalism.

Negative Impact:

1. Judicial Overreach: Excessive judicial activism may lead to judicial overreach, where the judiciary intrudes into the domain of the executive and legislative branches. This can upset the delicate federal balance by sidelining the autonomy of states.²³
2. Policy Formulation: Courts, when actively engaging in policy formulation, may inadvertently encroach upon the policymaking domain of the executive and legislative branches. This can disrupt the federal balance by concentrating too much power in the judiciary.
3. Impact on Legislative Processes: Courts, through activism, might issue directives that impact legislative processes and state laws. While this may be done with good intentions, it can be perceived as judicial interference in the legislative domain.²⁴
4. Variability in Judicial Activism: The level of judicial activism can vary, leading to inconsistency in the enforcement of federal principles. Inconsistent judgments may create confusion and hinder the development of a coherent federal jurisprudence.

Case Examples:-

²¹ "Judicial Activism and Its Role in Protecting Fundamental Rights in India" by PRS Legislative Research (<https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/role-of-judicial-activism-in-fundamental-rights/>)

²² "The Indian Judiciary and Human Rights" by Upendra Baxi (2008)

²³ "Judicial Overreach and Federalism in India" by Indian Kanoon (<https://indiankanoon.org/search/?formInput=federalism%20in%20constitution+doctypes:judgments>)

²⁴ "Limits of Judicial Activism in India" by Sujit Choudhry (2013)

1. S.R. Bommai v. Union of India (1994):

Positive Impact: The Supreme Court, through activism, established guidelines for the exercise of President's Rule, ensuring that the dismissal of state governments is justifiable only in extreme situations. This reinforced federal principles.

Negative Impact: The case also highlighted the potential for judicial activism to be perceived as judicial overreach when it comes to assessing the legitimacy of governments.

2. Centre for Public Interest Litigation v. Union of India (2012):

Positive Impact: The Supreme Court, through activism, has addressed issues related to corruption and good governance. This demonstrates the judiciary's commitment to upholding constitutional values.

Negative Impact: Activism in such matters may be seen as the judiciary taking on an executive role, potentially affecting the federal balance by influencing policy decisions.

While judicial activism can be a powerful tool in upholding constitutional principles, it should be exercised judiciously to avoid upsetting the federal balance. Striking a balance between the judiciary's role in safeguarding federalism and respecting the autonomy of other branches of government is essential for a harmonious functioning of the federal system. A measured and consistent approach is crucial to ensure that judicial activism contributes positively to the protection of federal principles without undermining the constitutional roles of the executive and legislative branches.

1. COOPERATIVE FEDERALISM IN PRACTICE:

Inter-State Council and its role in promoting cooperation:

The Inter-State Council in India plays a crucial role in promoting cooperation and coordination among the states and between the states and the central government. Established under Article 263 of the Indian Constitution, the Inter-State Council acts as a platform for dialogue and collaboration, addressing issues of common interest and fostering harmonious relations among the various components of the federal system. Here's an overview of the Inter-State Council and its role in promoting cooperation:

1. Establishment and Composition:

Constitutional Mandate (Article 263): The Inter-State Council is mandated by Article 263 of the Indian Constitution, which empowers the President to establish such a council for coordination

between states and between states and the Union.

Composition: The Council is chaired by the Prime Minister and includes Chief Ministers of all states and Union Territories (UTs), Governors of States, and Administrators of UTs.²⁵

2. Role and Functions:

(a) Dispute Resolution:

Recommendations on Disputes: The Council recommends the resolution of disputes between states or between the Union and states, providing a platform for dialogue to resolve conflicts peacefully.

Investigations and Reports: It can inquire into and advise upon disputes that may arise between states, helping to prevent inter-state conflicts.

(b) Coordination on Policy Matters: The Council facilitates the coordination of policy and action on issues of common interest among states and between states and the Union.

(C) Deliberation on Legislative Matters: It discusses any matter in which some or all of the states or the Union have a common interest, making recommendations for cooperative action.

(d) Advisory Role: While the recommendations of the Council are not binding, its advisory role is essential in promoting collaborative decision-making and resolving inter-state issues amicably.

3. Importance in Promoting Cooperation:

(a) Platform for Dialogue: The Inter-State Council provides a formal platform for the Prime Minister, Chief Ministers, and other representatives to engage in dialogue. This fosters open communication and understanding of each other's perspectives.

(b) Conflict Resolution: By addressing and recommending solutions to inter-state disputes, the Council contributes to conflict resolution, ensuring that issues are resolved peacefully without escalating tensions.

(c) Coordination on Common Issues: The Council plays a crucial role in coordinating policies and actions on matters of common interest, such as economic development, social welfare, and infrastructure, leading to a more synchronized approach among states.

(d) Preventing Centralization: The Council helps prevent the centralization of power by providing states with a platform to voice their concerns and contribute to the decision-making process. This is in line with the principles of cooperative federalism.

(e) Enhancing Federal Harmony: Through its deliberations and recommendations, the Council

²⁵ "Inter-State Council - An Overview" by PRS Legislative Research (<https://prsindia.org/>)

contributes to enhancing federal harmony by creating an atmosphere of cooperation and mutual respect between the Union and the states.

(f) Adaptability to Emerging Challenges: The Council allows for the adaptability of the federal structure to emerging challenges by providing a mechanism for states and the Union to collaboratively address new issues and concerns.²⁶

The Inter-State Council serves as a critical institution in India's federal structure, promoting cooperation, resolving disputes, and facilitating coordinated action on common issues. Its role in fostering a spirit of collaboration among the diverse entities within the Indian federal system contributes to the effective functioning of cooperative federalism and the overall development and governance of the country.

5.1 Planning Commission to NITI Aayog transition and implications for federalism:

The transition from the Planning Commission to NITI Aayog marked a significant shift in India's approach to governance, planning, and cooperative federalism. The move was aimed at addressing the limitations of the Planning Commission and fostering a more collaborative and decentralized model. Here are the implications of the Planning Commission to NITI Aayog transition for federalism:

Background:

Planning Commission:

Centralized Planning: The Planning Commission, established in 1950, was responsible for formulating Five-Year Plans and allocating resources. However, it was criticized for its top-down and centralized planning approach.

Resource Allocation: The Commission played a pivotal role in allocating funds to states, often based on a formula that was criticized for lacking flexibility and responsiveness to state-specific needs.²⁷

Transition to NITI Aayog:

Flexible Approach: In 2014, the Planning Commission was replaced by NITI Aayog, reflecting a shift towards a more flexible, cooperative, and decentralized approach to planning and governance.

Collaborative Federalism: NITI Aayog aimed to promote the concept of cooperative federalism, emphasizing active involvement and collaboration between the central government and states in

²⁶ "Indian Federalism and the Inter-State Council" by G.C. Reddy (2000)

²⁷ "Indian Economy Since Independence" by Uma Kapila (2017)

the decision-making process.²⁸

Implications for Federalism:

1. Decentralized Planning:

Planning Authority Shifted to States: NITI Aayog does not have the same centralized planning authority as the Planning Commission. Instead, it promotes the idea of states taking a more active role in planning, aligning with the principles of federalism.

Bottom-Up Approach: NITI Aayog encourages a bottom-up approach to planning, allowing states to play a more significant role in determining their development priorities and strategies.

2. Flexible Funding Mechanism:

Shift from Central Allocation: Unlike the Planning Commission, NITI Aayog does not directly allocate funds to states. Instead, it recommends a more flexible funding mechanism, giving states greater autonomy in resource utilization.

Grants-in-Aid: NITI Aayog suggests grants-in-aid to states based on their performance, promoting fiscal responsibility and aligning financial incentives with developmental outcomes.

3. Collaborative Decision-Making:

Inclusion of States in Decision-Making: NITI Aayog involves states in the decision-making process, with the Governing Council consisting of the Prime Minister, Chief Ministers of states, and Lieutenant Governors of UTs.

Governing Council Meetings: Regular Governing Council meetings provide a platform for states to discuss and deliberate on key developmental issues, reinforcing the principles of cooperative federalism.

4. Sectoral and Regional Focus:

Shift to Sectoral and Regional Focus: NITI Aayog emphasizes a sectoral and regional approach, acknowledging the diverse needs and priorities of different states. It allows for tailor-made strategies to address specific challenges faced by regions.

5. Inclusive Approach:

Inclusion of All States: NITI Aayog extends its cooperative federalism approach to all states and

²⁸ "From Planning Commission to NITI Aayog: A Paradigm Change in India's Development Policy Regime" by B.S. Bhatia in the Journal of Public Policy (Vol. 35, No. 1, 2019)

UTs, ensuring that the concerns and contributions of smaller states and UTs are taken into account.

Special Attention to Aspirational Districts: NITI Aayog identifies and provides special attention to aspirational districts, focusing on improving the socio-economic indicators in these areas.

The transition from the Planning Commission to NITI Aayog represents a paradigm shift towards a more collaborative, decentralized, and inclusive approach to planning and governance. NITI Aayog's emphasis on cooperative federalism, flexible funding mechanisms, and inclusive decision-making processes align with the principles of federalism, acknowledging the diversity of India's states and UTs. The move reflects a commitment to empowering states, promoting local governance, and addressing regional disparities through a more adaptive and responsive planning framework.²⁹

5.2 Joint decision-making processes and collaborative policymaking:

Joint decision-making processes and collaborative policymaking are essential elements of cooperative federalism, fostering active engagement and coordination between the central government and individual states or regions. These processes aim to leverage the strengths and perspectives of different entities within a federal structure to address shared challenges, enhance governance, and promote inclusive development. Here are key aspects and benefits of joint decision-making and collaborative policymaking:

1. Inclusive Governance:

Participation of Multiple Stakeholders: Joint decision-making involves the active participation of representatives from the central government, states, local governments, and other stakeholders. This inclusive approach ensures that diverse perspectives are considered during the policymaking process.

Enhanced Representation: Collaborative policymaking provides an opportunity for diverse regions and communities to have a voice in the decision-making process, promoting representation and inclusivity.

2. Shared Responsibility:

Mutual Accountability: Joint decision-making fosters a sense of mutual accountability, where all

²⁹ "Cooperative Federalism in India: The Role of NITI Aayog" by Amitabh Mattoo (2017)

stakeholders share responsibility for the success of policies. This shared responsibility can lead to more effective implementation and outcomes.

Coordinated Action: Collaboration ensures that both the central and state governments work in tandem to achieve common goals. This coordination is vital for the success of policies that require joint efforts.

3. Flexible and Adaptive Responses:

Adaptability to Local Needs: Collaborative policymaking allows for the customization of policies to address the specific needs and challenges faced by different states or regions. This flexibility enhances the effectiveness of policy interventions.

Quick Response to Challenges: Joint decision-making processes enable a quicker response to emerging challenges. The collective wisdom and diverse inputs from different levels of governance facilitate more informed and timely decisions.

4. Effective Implementation:

Local Knowledge and Expertise: Involving state governments in the decision-making process ensures that local knowledge and expertise are considered, leading to policies that are more contextually relevant and better suited for effective implementation.

Smooth Execution: Collaboration enhances the likelihood of policies being smoothly executed at the state and local levels. The active involvement of state governments in decision-making contributes to better on-the-ground implementation.

5. Cooperative Federalism:

Promotion of Cooperative Federalism: Joint decision-making is a fundamental aspect of cooperative federalism. It promotes a spirit of cooperation, coordination, and partnership between the central government and states, strengthening the federal structure.

Building Trust: Collaborative policymaking builds trust among different levels of government. When states and the central government work together, it fosters a sense of mutual trust and understanding, essential for effective federal governance.

6. Enhanced Policy Quality:

Comprehensive Policy Formulation: The involvement of multiple stakeholders ensures a more comprehensive formulation of policies. Different perspectives, experiences, and insights contribute to well-rounded and robust policy decisions.

Consideration of Diverse Views: Collaborative policymaking encourages the consideration of diverse views, leading to policies that are more representative of the interests and concerns of the entire nation.³⁰

7. Democratic Decision-Making:

Democratic Values: Joint decision-making aligns with democratic values by ensuring that decisions are not imposed from the top-down but are the result of a consultative and participatory process.

Consensus Building: Collaboration often involves the process of consensus building, fostering democratic principles of inclusivity and deliberative decision-making.

Joint decision-making processes and collaborative policymaking are integral to the success of cooperative federalism. By actively involving different levels of government, fostering inclusivity, and promoting shared responsibility, these approaches contribute to more effective, contextually relevant, and inclusive policies that address the diverse needs of a nation. This collaborative spirit is vital for building a strong, cohesive, and resilient federal governance system.³¹

2. CONCLUSION:

In conclusion, the journey of federalism in India has been marked by historical evolution, constitutional frameworks, and a dynamic interplay between the centre and states. From the pre-independence era to the present, India's federal structure has undergone significant transformations, responding to the needs of its diverse population and the challenges of governance.

The constitutional framework, established by the visionary leaders of the Constituent Assembly, delineates the distribution of powers between the central and state governments, defining the essence of cooperative federalism. Judicial pronouncements, particularly the 'basic structure' doctrine, have played a pivotal role in shaping the dynamics of centre-state relations, ensuring a delicate balance between federal unity and regional autonomy.

³⁰ "The Promise of Collaborative Federalism: A Network Approach" by Melinda J. Daniels in *Publius: The Journal of Federalism* (Vol. 41, No. 1, 2011)

³¹ "Collaborative Governance: Principles, Practices, and Challenges" by Ansell & Gash (2007)

In practice, cooperative federalism has manifested through institutions like the Inter-State Council and the transition from the Planning Commission to NITI Aayog. However, challenges persist, ranging from regional disparities to complex issues like inter-state water disputes and the implementation of GST. Addressing these challenges requires a proactive approach, leveraging technology, embracing institutional reforms, and focusing on sustainable development.

Drawing lessons from successful models both within India and globally, the future trajectory of federalism hinges on the effective use of technology, inclusive decision-making, and collaborative efforts for sustainable development. Strengthening intergovernmental institutions, empowering local bodies, and bridging the digital divide are essential steps toward fostering cooperative federalism.

Recommendations for Fostering Effective Centre-State Relations:

- 1) **Enhance Inter-Governmental Dialogue:** Facilitate regular and meaningful dialogues between the central and state governments to promote collaboration and understanding.
- 2) **Strengthen Intergovernmental Institutions:** Empower institutions like the Inter-State Council and Finance Commission to play a more significant role in decision-making and dispute resolution.
- 3) **Adopt Technology for Governance:** Invest in digital platforms and technologies to streamline governance processes, enhance transparency, and facilitate information sharing.
- 4) **Revise Concurrent List:** Periodically review and update the Concurrent List to reflect contemporary needs and ensure a more coherent distribution of powers.
- 5) **Empower Local Bodies:** Delegate more powers to local bodies for decentralized decision-making, fostering grassroots-level governance.

Recommendations for Cooperative Federalism:

- 1) **Inclusive Decision-Making:** Ensure inclusive representation in key decision-making bodies to reflect regional and linguistic diversity.
- 2) **Flexibility in Fiscal Federalism:** Provide flexibility in fiscal federalism to address diverse economic needs, allowing states to tailor policies to local contexts.
- 3) **Focus on Sustainable Development:** Prioritize collaborative efforts in areas like healthcare, environmental management, and inclusive economic growth for sustainable development.

- 4) Bridge the Digital Divide: Implement measures to bridge the digital divide, ensuring equitable access to technology and promoting digital literacy.

7. **REFERENCES:**

1. <https://liddashboard.legislative.gov.in/sites/default/files/COI...pdf>
2. <https://www.drishtiiias.com/daily-updates/daily-news-analysis/frictions-in-centre-state-relations>.
3. <https://www.niti.gov.in/cooperative-federalism>
4. <https://www.livelaw.in/lawschool/articles/evolving-dimensions-of-federalism-in-india-centre-state-relations-constitutional-law-separation-of-powers-226151>
5. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/41853856>
6. <https://ili.ac.in/pdf/mam.pdf>
7. <https://ncert.nic.in/ncerts/l/keps207.pdf>
8. http://www.niepa.ac.in/download/Publications/Occasional_Paper-50_Prof.JBGTilak.pdf
9. <https://supremoamicus.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/Rishabh-Sinha.pdf>
10. https://fincomindia.nic.in/writereaddata/html_en_files/fincom15/StudyReports/Cleaning%20constitutional%20cobwebs_Reforming%20the%20Seventh%20schedule.pdf
11. https://www.facebook.com/RabindranathMLA/videos/live-national-conference-on-centre-state-relations-2022-jlrtc-/421544826706886/?locale=ms_MY
12. <https://ijirl.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/ANALYSIS-ON-COOPERATIVE-AND-COMPETITIVE-FEDERALISM-IN-INDIA.pdf>
13. <https://m.economictimes.com/news/politics-and-nation/pm-modi-talks-of-cooperative-federalism-but-coercive-federalism-witnessed-in-country-congress-mp-shashi-tharoor/articleshow/102399228.cms>
14. <https://legalaffairs.gov.in/sites/default/files/The%20Institution%20of%20Governor%20under%20the%20Constitution.pdf>